

**Report of the Chief Constable to the Chair and Members
of the Professional Standards Panel
29th July 2010**

Executive & Presenting Officer: Mr Derek Bonnard, Deputy Chief Constable

Status: For information

Complaints Against Police

1 Purpose

- 1.1 To inform Members of the Complaints Against Police for the period 1st April to 30th June 2010.

2 Recommendations

- 2.1 That Members note the content of the attached report.

3 Reasons

- 3.1 The Quarterly Progress Report on Complaint Issues for Cleveland Police for the period April to June 2010 is attached. The report is produced in this format to be National Intelligence Model (NIM) compliant.
- 3.2 There has been a 7% decrease in the number of Cases recorded during this period (121 to 112), with a 2% decrease in the number of Complaints (173 to 170).
- 3.3 When a complaint is received a file is opened known as a case. If the complainant makes several allegations these are recorded as complaints. For example, they may claim that they were assaulted during their arrest, the Officers were uncivil to them and whilst in custody they were denied access to their solicitor. This would be recorded as 1 Case with 3 Complaints attached to it – each reported under the appropriate Home Office code.

- 3.4 Complaints of 'other neglect/failure in duty' and 'incivility' continue to outnumber those of 'assault' allegations, 32 and 45 complaints respectively, compared to 28 in the 'assault' categories.
- 3.5 23% (30) of completed complaints have been locally resolved. During this period 63% (19) of locally resolved complaints have been by District and 37% (11) by PSD.
- 3.6 Locally resolved means being finalised at District/Departmental level and often involves giving advice to the Officer complained of. This is the most effective method of resolving complaints both in terms of public satisfaction and cost. Guidelines from the IPCC recommend more emphasis on 'local resolution', i.e. the Police and complainant negotiate a desired outcome, which could involve a face to face meeting with the officer or Police Staff employee complained of.

4 Implications

4.1 Finance

There are no finance implications to this report.

4.2 Diversity & Equal Opportunities

There are no diversity or equal opportunities issues identified by the data contained within the report.

4.3 Human Rights Act

There are no Human Rights Act implications arising from this report.

4.4 Sustainability

There are no sustainability implications to this report.

4.5 Risk

The organisation is at risk of low public confidence if complaints are not professionally resolved. The report clearly indicates that the complaints process is robust.

5 Conclusion

- 5.1 There has been a decrease in the number of cases and complaints during the reporting period. Lessons continue to be learned from the complaints raised which are identified in the report.

Sean Price
Chief Constable