

**Report of the Chief Constable to the Chair and Members
of the Professional Standards Panel
3rd November 2011**

**Executive & Presenting Officer: Mr Sean White, Assistant Chief Constable
(Crime & Operations)**

Status: For information

Deliberate Damage Statistics

1. Purpose

- 1.1 To inform Members of the cost to the Force of deliberate damage by way of forced entry into premises for the period 1st July – 30th September 2011.
- 1.2 To inform Members of the operational results achieved through such forced entry and other premises searches.

2. Recommendations

- 2.1 To note the content of the report.
- 2.2 To note that the operational benefits accruing to the Force in terms of property, drugs and cash seized, outweigh the cost of the damage claims.

3. Reasons

- 3.1 Police Officers are lawfully entitled to forcibly enter private premises for a number of reasons. The main reasons are:
 - to effect an arrest
 - to conduct a premises search subsequent to an arrest
 - to execute a warrant or
 - to save life or property.
- 3.2 It is important that the exercise of these powers is subject to scrutiny.

4. Implications

4.1 Finance

The figures show that during the quarter, the Force has paid out **£3,985** in compensation for acts of deliberate damage. This compares to £6,992 paid out in the same period in the previous year. Whilst 2457 searches were conducted, only 173 (**7.04%**) resulted in deliberate damage, compared to 7.34% in the previous year. The value of property, cash and drugs seized totals **£691,358**, and this compares to £1,034,394 seized during the same period in 2010.

4.2 Diversity & Equal Opportunities

There are no diversity or equal opportunities implications identified by the data contained in this report.

4.3 Human Rights Act

There are no Human Rights Act implications arising from this report.

4.4 Sustainability

There are no sustainability implications arising from this report.

4.5 Risk

There are no risk implications arising from this report.

5. Conclusions

5.1 The report confirms that the value of property, cash and drugs recovered continues to exceed the cost of any damage caused by forced entry.

Jacqui Cheer
Temporary Chief Constable

House Search Stats Summary July – September 2011

District	Number of Searches	Damage Caused ⁽¹⁾	Arrests	Save Life / Property	Property ⁽²⁾ Recovered	Cash	Drugs
H	525	36	41	7	£17,400	£1,655	£33,550
R&C	707	36	201	0	£11,407	£5,312	£259,702
M	656	63	93	11	£9,280	£4,390	£213,350
S	569	38	129	6	£15,450	£10,065	£109,797
Total	2457	173	464	24	£53,537	£21,422	£616,399

(1) Number of premises searched where damage was caused. Please include damage caused to all properties, whether a positive or negative outcome.

(2) Property includes evidential property and property seized. All values are estimated.

Boarding Up / Damage Payouts for July – September 2011

District	Number of Claims ⁽¹⁾	Number Finalised ⁽²⁾	Number Resulting in Payment	Sums Paid Out	Average Payment
H	27	11	11	£330	£30.00
R&C	7	19	18	£1,555	£86.38
M	18	25	17	£1,675	£98.53
S	22	9	9	£425	£47.22
Total	75	64	55	£3,985	£72.45

(1) Claims opened in the above period

(2) Claims closed within the above period, regardless of when they were opened

Boarding Up/Damage Claims: Analysis of Claims Settled July – September 2011

District	S.17 PACE ⁽¹⁾	S.18 PACE ⁽²⁾		S.23 MDA ⁽³⁾		Other ⁽⁴⁾	Misc. Payouts ⁽⁵⁾	Total
		Positive	Negative	Positive	Negative			
H	-	-	-	-	-	-	11	11*
R&C	4	1	-	-	-	1	13	19
M	10	2	-	-	1	-	12	25
S	2	-	-	-	-	1	6	9*
Total	16	3	-	-	1	2	42	64

(1) For purpose of arrest

(2) Entry and search after arrest

(3) Drugs warrant

(4) Section 32 (upon arrest), Section 8 (with warrant), Section 26, Section 2 (search of vehicle)

(5) Voluntary boarding up, fire, derelict property, welfare

* We have queried the status of invoices with our boarding up partner as we are not receiving the invoices as we have previously, which will have an affect on the Sums Paid Out in the next quarter.